

**Groundwater Management Area (GMA) 13  
Desired Future Conditions  
2021 Joint Planning**

<b>Adopted Desired Future Conditions for Relevant Aquifers</b>		
<b>Aquifer</b>	<b>Desired Future Condition (DFC)</b>	<b>Date DFC Adopted</b>
Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta (outcrop)	75 percent of saturated thickness in the outcrop at the end of 2012 remains at the end of 2080.	11/19/2021
Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta	Average drawdown of 48 feet (+/- 5 feet) for all of GMA 13 calculated from the end of 2012 conditions through the year 2080	11/19/2021
Yegua-Jackson	For Gonzales County, the average drawdown from the end of 2010 through 2080 is 3 feet (+/- 1 foot). For Karnes County, the average drawdown from the end of 2010 through 2080 is 1 foot (+/- 1 foot).	11/19/2021

<b>Non-Relevant Aquifers *</b>		
<b>Aquifer</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Justification</b>
Edwards (BFZ)	GMA 13 (Atascosa, Bexar, Frio, Medina, Uvalde, and Zavala counties)	Limited extent and use, hydraulic separation from the relevant aquifer system, managed by the Edwards Aquifer Authority
Gulf Coast	GMA 13 (Gonzales and Zapata counties)	Limited extent and use, hydraulic separation from the relevant aquifer system, planning for portions of the aquifer within other groundwater management areas
Trinity	GMA 13 (Atascosa, Bexar, Medina, and Medina counties)	Limited extent and use, hydraulic separation from the relevant aquifer system, planning for portions of the aquifer within other groundwater management areas
Yegua-Jackson	Atascosa, Frio, La Salle, McMullen, Webb, Wilson, and Zapata counties	Limited use, hydraulic separation from the relevant aquifer system

\* Districts in a groundwater management area may, as part of the process for adopting and submitting desired future conditions, propose classification of a portion or portions of a relevant aquifer as non-relevant if the districts determine that aquifer characteristics, groundwater demands, and current groundwater uses do not warrant adoption of a desired future condition ([Texas Administrative Code § 356.31\(b\)](#)). Declaring an aquifer as non-relevant for the purposes of joint planning does not necessarily mean that the aquifer will not be managed by a local groundwater conservation district.